| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|--|---|--|
|  | 10/722,929  | RYND ET AL.  |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|  | JEFFREY WOLLSCHLAGER  | 1791   |
| All Participants: Status of Application:   |   |  |
| (1) <u>Jeff Wollschlager</u> .   | (3)   |  |
| (2) <u>Jason Fokens</u> .  | (4)   |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>12/18/09</u>   | Time: <u>2:00 pm</u>  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .   | cant's representative)  |  |
| Part I.  |   |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed: of record  |   |  |
| Claims discussed:  |   |  |
| Prior art documents discussed: of record   |   |  |
| Part II.   |   |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN<br>Examiner Wollschlager proposed an Examiner's Amendment to<br>an amendment was appropriate in view of the prior art. Mr. Fo<br>amendment during a return phone call on 12/28/09.   | o put the case into condition for allo                                | wance and explained why such                               |
| Part III.  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand.</li> </ul> | he examiner will provide a writte<br>e record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|  |   |  |
| /Jeff Wollschlager/<br>Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791   | (Applicant/Applicant's Representat                                    | ive Signature – if appropriate)                            |